# Regional HOT Lanes Network Feasibility Study

## **APPENDIX H**

## **COST ESTIMATES FOR STUDY CORRIDORS**

Prepared for:

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

and

California Department of Transportation

Prepared by:
Parsons Brinckerhoff

Ver3

February 2009

### **Introduction**

Phases 2 and 2b of the HOT Lanes Study developed preliminary cost estimates for developing the HOT lanes network based on general assumptions regarding the types of modifications that would be needed. In Phase 3 of the study five corridor segments were studied in depth to, among other things, develop more detailed estimates of the actual costs in specific cases. The five corridor segments studied were:

- I-80 in Solano County from the Yolo County Line to I-680
- I-680 in Contra Costa County from Marina Vista Drive to Livorna Road
- SR-237 in Santa Clara County from I-880 to SR-85
- US-101 in Marin County from North San Pedro Road to Lucky Drive
- I-880 in Alameda County from SR-92 to SR-237

#### Methodology

The methodology used to prepare these estimates was:

- The study team reviewed and revised the roadway elements of unit capital costs determined in a previous phase to reflect recent trends, comments from the local Congestion Management Agencies on actual costs of recent projects, and discussions with Caltrans staff.
- The study team then identified major cost elements such as access points and the associated transition lanes, lane-miles of conversion of HOV lanes, and lane-miles of new HOT lanes, in the development of HOT lane cost elements for the corridor segments. Special locations that may require major modifications, such as replacement of structures or sound walls, were also identified.
- In some cases cost estimates were available from other studies for specific improvements that would be needed for HOT lanes, such as replacement of an over-crossing. In such cases the cost estimates were examined and, if found to be relevant, were used in this study either directly or after appropriate modification (such as factoring to reflect current costs and adjusting contingencies to be consistent with this study).

Based on the unit costs and the identified cost elements consistent with MTC's current HOT lane design criteria, the study team then prepared planning-level cost estimates for the development of a HOT lane in the study corridor. Separate estimates were made for the Basic Approach and the Revised Full Featured Approach. Each estimate was disaggregated to show the costs associated with provision of the typical HOT lane section, costs associated with access points, and costs associated with special locations such as major structures that may need reconstruction or replacement.

#### Results

The results of these analyses are shown in the tables below. There are several notable features of the analyses:

- Right-of-way (ROW) costs were not estimated for any of the five study corridors. In three cases (I-80 in Solano County, SR-237 in Santa Clara County, and I-880 in Alameda County) the original freeway ROW was sufficient to accommodate the HOT facility. In the case of US-101 in Marin County, the original ROW was not sufficient, but Transportation Authority of Marin recently acquired additional ROW whose costs have already been covered by another project<sup>1</sup>. In the case of I-680 in Alameda County, the freeway ROW is not sufficient to accommodate the HOT lanes, but acquisition of additional ROW through Walnut Creek and Pleasant Hill is not considered feasible. Consequently no cost estimate was prepared for the Revised Full-Featured Approach or for the portions of the Basic Approach that were considered physically infeasible.
- There was a modest (8%) difference in the cost of the Basic Approach and the Revised Full Featured Approach for SR-237. This is because the only additional cost would come from widening the pavement by 2 feet to accommodate the buffer while maintaining 12-foot travel lanes.
- In contrast, the Revised Full Featured Approach would cost more than twice as much as the Basic Approach for US-101 in Marin County. This is because of

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The HOV Gap Closure Project

the need to widen a long viaduct and to excavate into a hillside to accommodate the additional width required to maintain standards.

The corridor case studies demonstrate that the cost implications of the two design approaches evaluated as part of Phase 3 can vary widely depending on the constraints in each corridor. The key conclusion from this analysis is the need for flexibility in pursuing HOT lane development in different corridors. There appear to be places where the Full Featured design could be met at reasonable cost, while there are other places where it would be prohibitively expensive or would entail community impacts that would likely make the project infeasible. These trade-offs are typical of any urban highway project in the Bay Area, and are usually addressed in the Project Study Report phase of development.

| "Basic" Approach   | Unit   | Number of<br>Units<br>(A)   | Unit Cost<br>(B)  | Construction Cost (C)=(A)*(B)   | ROW<br>(acres)<br>(D) | ROW<br>(\$M/acre)<br>(E)                          | ROW Cost<br>(\$M)<br>(F)=(D)*(E) | Line Item Cost<br>(G)=(C)+(F)   | Category Cost                              |                  | Environmental Document Anticipated   |
|--|--|---|---|---|-----------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|--|------------------|--|
| Mainline Provision of HOT Lane by Category Conversion of Existing or Planned HOV Lanes Widen to inside to reduced design standard (16') Widen to inside to full design standard (24') Widen to outside to full design standard (24') Widen to outside to full design standard (24') Modify Existing Ramps Ingress and Egress Points Ingress/egress points, widen to inside (only) Ingress/egress points, widen to outside (only) Special locations Sweeny Creek bridge (widen) Cherry Glen Road overcrossing, east Cherry Glen Road overcrossing, west | Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Ramp  Site Site Site Site Site Site | 14.3<br>39.4<br>6.0<br>0.0<br>0.0<br>0<br>0<br>1<br>17<br>2<br>20 | \$639,000<br>\$2,722,000<br>\$3,367,000<br>\$2,299,000<br>\$2,938,000<br>\$546,700<br>\$3,022,000<br>\$5,183,000<br>\$3,236,000 | \$107,360,000<br>\$20,210,000<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$1,030,000<br>\$88,120,000 |                       | No ROW<br>acquisition<br>needed in th<br>corridor |                                  | \$9,160,000<br>\$107,360,000<br>\$20,210,000<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$3,030,000<br>\$84,120,000<br>\$6,480,000 | \$136,730,000<br>\$97,630,000<br>\$170,000 | 58%<br>42%<br>0% | Categorical Exemption Probably Negative Declaration Probably Negative Declaration* Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Probably Negative Declaration Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND |
|  | es sub-standard  |   |   | \$234,530,000   |                       |   | \$0                              | \$234,530,000<br>50%  | \$234,530,000                              | 100%             |  |
| Total with Contingency   |  |   |   |   |                       |   |                                  | \$351,795,000   |  |                  |  |

<sup>\*</sup> A negative declaration may be possible if the Initial Study does not find that a significant environmental impact is likely to occur

| "Revised Full Featured" Approach   | Unit  | Number of<br>Units<br>(A)                                     | Unit Cost<br>(B)  | Construction Cost<br>(C)=(A)*(B)   | ROW<br>(acres)<br>(D) | ROW<br>(\$M/acre)<br>(E)                   | ROW Cost<br>(\$M)<br>(F)=(D)*(E) | Line Item Cost<br>(G)=(C)+(F)  | Category Cost                                |                  | Environmental Document Anticipated   |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|--|------------------|--|
| Mainline Provision of HOT Lane by Category Conversion of Existing or Planned HOV Lanes Widen to inside to reduced design standard (16') Widen to inside to full design standard (24') Widen to outside to reduced design standard (24') Widen to outside to full design standard (24') Modify Existing Ramps*  Ingress/egress Points Ingress/egress points, widen to inside (only) Ingress/egress points, widen to inside & outside Ingress/egress points, widen to outside (only) Special locations Sweeny Creek bridge (widen) Cherry Glen Road overcrossing, east Cherry Glen Road overcrossing, west | Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Ramp  Site Site Site Site Site | 14.3<br>0.0<br>6.0<br>0.0<br>39.4<br>71<br>1<br>17<br>2<br>20 | \$639,000<br>\$2,722,000<br>\$3,367,000<br>\$2,299,000<br>\$2,938,000<br>\$546,700<br>\$3,022,000<br>\$5,183,000<br>\$3,236,000 | \$0<br>\$20,210,000<br>\$0<br>\$115,880,000<br>\$38,820,000<br>\$3,030,000<br>\$88,120,000 | a<br>ne               | No ROW equisition is eded in this corridor |                                  | \$9,160,000<br>\$0<br>\$20,210,000<br>\$0<br>\$115,880,000<br>\$38,820,000<br>\$3,030,000<br>\$6,480,000<br>\$2,300,000<br>\$110,000<br>\$60,000 | \$184,070,000<br>\$97,630,000<br>\$2,470,000 | 65%<br>34%<br>1% | Categorical Exemption Probably Negative Declaration** Probably Negative Declaration** Probably Negative Declaration Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Probably Negative Declaration Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Probably Full EIR Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND |
| Total without Contingency  |   |   |   | \$284,170,000  |                       |  | \$0                              | \$284,170,000  | \$284,170,000                                | 100%             |  |
| Contingency  |   |   |   |  |                       |  |                                  | 50%  |  |                  |  |
| Total with Contingency   |   |   |   |  |                       |  |                                  | \$426,255,000  |  |                  |  |

Cost Estimate for I-80 in Solano County from Yolo County Line to I-680

| "Basic" Approach  | Unit   | Number of<br>Units<br>(A) | Unit Cost  | Construction Cost          | ROW<br>(acres)<br>(D) | ROW<br>(\$M/acre)<br>(E) | ROW Cost<br>(\$M)<br>(F)=(D)*(E) | Line Item Cost (G)=(C)+(F)                                | Category Cost |      | Environmental Document Anticipated  |
|---|--|---------------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---------------|------|---|
| Mainline Provision of HOT Lane by Category  Conversion of Existing or Planned HOV Lanes (narrowing general purpose lanes by 2')  Conversion of Existing or Planned HOV Lanes (reducing inside shoulder by 1'-2')  Conversion of Existing or Planned HOV Lanes (widening to outside by 2')  Widen to inside to reduced design standard (16') | Lane-Miles<br>Lane-Miles<br>Lane-Miles         | 12.3<br>1.2               | \$639,000<br>\$1,200,000<br>\$1,587,000<br>\$2,722,000 | \$1,440,000                |                       |                          |                                  | \$7,860,000<br>\$1,440,000<br>\$0                         | \$10,950,000  | 19%  | Categorical Exemption Probably Negative Declaration Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Probably Negative Declaration                |
| Widen to inside to full design standard (24') Widen to outside to reduced design standard (16') Widen to outside to full design standard (24')* Modify Existing Ramps   | Lane-Miles<br>Lane-Miles<br>Lane-Miles<br>Ramp | 3                         | \$3,367,000<br>\$2,299,000<br>\$2,938,000<br>\$546,700 | \$0<br>\$0                 | Γ                     | No ROW acquisition       |                                  | \$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$1,650,000                          |               |      | Probably Negative Declaration* Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND |
| Ingress and Egress Points Ingress/egress points, widen to inside (only) Ingress/egress points, widen to inside & outside Ingress/egress points, widen to outside (only)   | Site<br>Site<br>Site                           | 0<br>5<br>0               | \$3,022,000<br>\$5,183,000<br>\$3,236,000              | \$25,920,000               |                       | needed in th<br>corridor | is                               | \$0<br>\$25,920,000<br>\$0                                | \$25,920,000  | 45%  | Probably Negative Declaration<br>Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND<br>Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND                             |
| Special locations  Bridge over Concord Avenue (Widening for SB-E1) Bridge over Willow Pass Road (Widening for SB-I1) Bridge over Monument Boulevard (Widening for SB-I2) Outrigger Structure (for BART overcrossing)  | Sq. Ft.<br>Sq. Ft.<br>Sq. Ft.<br>Site          | 3094<br>3024<br>8554<br>1 | \$420<br>\$420<br>\$420<br>\$15,000,000                | \$1,280,000<br>\$3,600,000 |                       |                          |                                  | \$1,300,000<br>\$1,280,000<br>\$3,600,000<br>\$15,000,000 | \$21,180,000  | 36%  | Probably Negative Declaration<br>Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND<br>Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND                             |
| Total without Contingency   |  |                           |  | \$58,050,000               |                       |                          | \$0                              | \$58,050,000  | \$58,050,000  | 100% |   |
| Contingency   |  |                           |  |                            |                       |                          |                                  | 50%   |               |      |   |
| Total with Contingency  |  |                           |  |                            |                       |                          |                                  | \$87,075,000  |               |      |   |

No cost estimate was prepared for the Revised Full-Featured Approach because it is considered physically infeasible.

Cost Estimate for I-680 in Contra Costa County from Martinez to Livorna Road

| "Basic" Approach  | Unit   | Number of<br>Units<br>(A)        | Unit Cost<br>(B)   | Construction Cost   | ROW<br>(acres)<br>(D) | ROW<br>(\$M/acre)<br>(E)                            | ROW Cost<br>(\$M)<br>(F)=(D)*(E) | Line Item Cost<br>(G)=(C)+(F)  | Category Cost                               |                  | Environmental Document Anticipated   |
|---|--|----------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|---|------------------|--|
| Mainline Provision of HOT Lane by Category Conversion of Existing or Planned HOV Lanes (narrowing general purpose lanes by 2') Conversion of Existing or Planned HOV Lanes (widening to outside by 1'-2')  Conversion of Existing or Planned HOV Lanes (widening to outside by 2')  Widen to inside to reduced design standard (16') Widen to inside to full design standard (24') Widen to outside to reduced design standard (6') Widen to outside to full design standard (24')'  Modify Existing Ramps  Ingress and Egress Points Ingress/egress points, widen to inside (only) Ingress/egress points, widen to inside & outside Ingress/egress points, widen to outside (only) Soecial locations  Bridge over Mathilda Ave. Bridge over US 101  Bridge over Central Expressway | Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Ramp Site Site Site Site Site | 12.6 3.0 2.4 8 0 12.2 0 12 1 1 1 | \$639,000<br>\$1,200,000<br>\$1,587,000<br>\$2,722,000<br>\$2,299,000<br>\$2,938,000<br>\$2,938,000<br>\$3,022,000<br>\$3,183,000<br>\$1,690,000<br>\$2,670,000<br>\$2,670,000 | \$15,120,000<br>\$0<br>\$8,170,000<br>\$0<br>\$5,520,000<br>\$4,380,000<br>\$62,200,000<br>\$1,690,000<br>\$2,670,000 |                       | No ROW<br>acquisition i<br>needed in th<br>corridor |                                  | \$0<br>\$15,120,000<br>\$0<br>\$8,170,000<br>\$5,520,000<br>\$4,380,000<br>\$62,200,000<br>\$1,690,000<br>\$2,670,000<br>\$2,670,000 | \$33,190,000<br>\$62,200,000<br>\$7,030,000 | 32%<br>61%<br>7% | Categorical Exemption Probably Negative Declaration* Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Probably Negative Declaration Probably Negative Declaration Probably Negative Declaration Probably Negative Declaration Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Probably Negative Declaration Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Probably Negative Declaration Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Probably Negative Declaration Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND |
| Total without Contingency Contingency Total with Contingency  |  |                                  |  | \$102,420,000   |                       |   | \$0                              | \$102,420,000<br>50%<br>\$153,630,000  | \$102,420,000                               | 100%             |  |

<sup>\*</sup> A negative declaration may be possible if the Initial Study does not find that a significant environmental impact is likely to occur

| "Revised Full Featured" Approach  | Unit   | Number of<br>Units<br>(A) | Unit Cost<br>(B)  | Construction Cost<br>(C)=(A)*(B)   | ROW<br>(acres)<br>(D) | ROW<br>(\$M/acre)<br>(E)                    | ROW Cost<br>(\$M)<br>(F)=(D)*(E) | Line Item Cost<br>(G)=(C)+(F)                            | Category Cost      | Environmental Document Anticipated   |
|---|--|---------------------------|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|--------------------|--|
| Mainline Provision of HOT Lane by Category  Conversion of Existing or Planned HOV Lanes (narrowing general purpose lanes by 2')  Conversion of Existing or Planned HOV Lanes (reducing inside shoulder by 1'-2')  Conversion of Existing or Planned HOV Lanes (widening to outside by 2') | Lane-Miles<br>Lane-Miles<br>Lane-Miles               | 12.6                      | \$639,000<br>\$1,200,000<br>\$1,587,000                               | \$0                                |                       |   |                                  | \$0<br>\$0<br>\$20,000,000                               | \$41,550,000 38%   | Categorical Exemption Probably Negative Declaration Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND   |
| Widen to inside to reduced design standard (16') Widen to inside to full design standard (24') Widen to outside to meduced design standard (16') Widen to outside to full design standard (24')* Modify Existing Ramps**  | Lane-Miles<br>Lane-Miles<br>Lane-Miles<br>Lane-Miles | 3.0<br>2.4                | \$2,722,000<br>\$3,367,000<br>\$2,299,000<br>\$2,938,000<br>\$546,700 | \$10,110,000<br>\$0<br>\$7,060,000 |                       | No ROW                                      | 7                                | \$0<br>\$10,110,000<br>\$0<br>\$7,060,000<br>\$4,380,000 |                    | Probably Negative Declaration Probably Negative Declaration Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND |
| Ingress and Egross Points Ingress/egress points, widen to inside (only) Ingress/egress points, widen to inside & outside Ingress/egress points, widen to outside (only)   | Site<br>Site<br>Site                                 | 0<br>12<br>0<br>12        | \$3,022,000<br>\$5,183,000<br>\$3,236,000                             | \$0<br>\$62,200,000                |                       | acquisition is<br>eeded in this<br>corridor |                                  | \$0<br>\$62,200,000<br>\$0                               | \$62,200,000 56%   | Probably Negative Declaration<br>Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND<br>Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND  |
| Special locations Bridge over Mathilda Ave. Bridge over US 101 Bridge over Central Expressway   | Site<br>Site<br>Site                                 | 1<br>1<br>1               | \$1,690,000<br>\$2,670,000<br>\$2,670,000                             | \$2,670,000                        |                       |   |                                  | \$1,690,000<br>\$2,670,000<br>\$2,670,000                | \$7,030,000 6%     | Probably Full EIR<br>Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND<br>Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND  |
| Total without Contingency Contingency Total with Contingency  |  |                           |   | \$110,780,000                      |                       |   | \$0                              | \$110,780,000<br>50%<br>\$166,170,000                    | \$110,780,000 100% |  |

Cost Estimate for SR-237 in Santa Clara County from I-880 to SR-85

| "Basic" Approach  | Unit   | Number of<br>Units<br>(A) | Unit Cost<br>(B)  | Construction Cost<br>(C)=(A)*(B)   | ROW<br>(acres)<br>(D) | ROW<br>(\$M/acre)<br>(E)                           | ROW Cost<br>(\$M)<br>(F)=(D)*(E) | Line Item Cost<br>(G)=(C)+(F)  | Category Cost                      |      | Environmental Document Anticipated  |
|---|--|---------------------------|---|--|-----------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|------|---|
| Maintine Provision of HOT Lane by Category  Conversion of Existing or Planned HOV Lanes (narrowing general purpose lanes by 2) Conversion of Existing or Planned HOV Lanes (widening to outside by 1)  Conversion of Existing or Planned HOV Lanes (widening to outside by 2)  Widen to inside to reduced design standard (16') Widen to inside to reduced design standard (24') Widen to outside to reduced design standard (16') Widen to outside to reduced design standard (16') Widen to outside to full design standard (24')  Modify Existing Ramps  Ingress and Egress Points Ingress'egress points, widen to inside (only) Ingress'egress points, widen to inside & outside Ingress'egress points, widen to outside (only)  Special locations  Widen'construct Viaduct by 8' Conversion (excavating hill by 5.5 ft) Conversion (excavating hill by 13.5 ft) at Cal Park Hill Section | Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Site Site Site Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles | 0<br>4<br>0<br>4          | \$639,000<br>\$1,200,000<br>\$1,587,000<br>\$2,722,000<br>\$2,299,000<br>\$2,938,000<br>\$546,700<br>\$3,022,000<br>\$3,023,000<br>\$3,023,000<br>\$3,023,000<br>\$3,000<br>\$3,000<br>\$21,097,000 | \$1,800,000<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0 |                       | No ROW<br>acquisition<br>needed in the<br>corridor |                                  | \$5,440,000<br>\$1,800,000<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0 | \$7,240,000<br>\$20,740,000<br>\$0 | 74%  | Categorical Exemption Probably Negative Declaration* Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Probably Negative Declaration Probably Negative Declaration Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Probably Negative Declaration Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Probably Negative Declaration Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND |
| Total without Contingency  Contingency  Total with Contingency  |  |                           |   | \$27,980,000   |                       |  | \$0                              | \$27,980,000<br>50%<br>\$41,970,000  | \$27,980,000                       | 100% |   |

<sup>\*</sup> A negative declaration may be possible if the Initial Study does not find that a significant environmental impact is likely to occur

| "Revised Full Featured" Approach  | Unit  | Number of<br>Units<br>(A)             | Unit Cost<br>(B)   | Construction Cost<br>(C)=(A)*(B)   | ROW<br>(acres)<br>(D) | ROW<br>(\$M/acre)<br>(E)                            | ROW Cost<br>(\$M)<br>(F)=(D)*(E) | Line Item Cost<br>(G)=(C)+(F)   | Category Cost                                |                   | Environmental Document Anticipated   |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|--|-------------------|--|
| Mainline Provision of HOT Lane by Category Conversion of Existing or Planned HOV Lanes (reducing inside shoulder by 11-2) Conversion of Existing or Planned HOV Lanes (reducing inside shoulder by 11-2)  Conversion of Existing or Planned HOV Lanes (widening to outside by 21)  Widen to inside to reduced design standard (16)  Widen to inside to full design standard (24)  Widen to outside to reduced design standard (16)  Widen to outside to reduced design standard (24)  Modify Existing Ramps**  Ingress and Egress Points  Ingress/egress points, widen to inside (only) Ingress/egress points, widen to inside & outside Ingress/egress points, widen to outside (only)  Special locations  Widen/construct Viaduct by 8' Conversion (excavating hill by 5.5 ft) Conversion (excavating hill by 13.5 ft) at Cal Park Hill Section | Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Ramp Site Site Site Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles | 0<br>4<br>0<br>4<br>0.4<br>0.5<br>0.3 | \$639,000<br>\$1,200,000<br>\$1,587,000<br>\$2,722,000<br>\$3,367,000<br>\$2,299,000<br>\$2,938,000<br>\$3,022,000<br>\$3,183,000<br>\$3,183,000<br>\$3,183,000<br>\$3,183,000<br>\$3,183,000<br>\$3,183,000<br>\$21,097,000 | \$15,870,000<br>\$15,870,000<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0 |                       | No ROW<br>acquisition i<br>needed in th<br>corridor |                                  | \$0<br>\$15,870,000<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0 | \$15,870,000<br>\$20,740,000<br>\$20,880,000 | 28%<br>36%<br>36% | Categorical Exemption Probably Negative Declaration* Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Probably Negative Declaration Probably Negative Declaration Probably Negative Declaration Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Probably Negative Declaration Probably Negative Declaration Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND |
| Total without Contingency Contingency Total with Contingency  |   |                                       |  | \$57,490,000   |                       |   | \$0                              | \$57,490,000<br>50%<br>\$86,235,000   | \$57,490,000                                 | 100%              |  |

Cost Estimate for US-101 in Marin County from N. San Pedro Road to Lucky Drive

| "Basic" Approach   | Unit   | Number of<br>Units<br>(A) | Unit Cost<br>(B)  | Construction Cost   | ROW<br>(acres) | ROW<br>(\$M/acre)<br>(E)                          | ROW Cost<br>(\$M)<br>(F)=(D)*(E) | Line Item Cost   | Category Cost |     | Environmental Document Anticipated  |
|--|--|---------------------------|---|---|----------------|---|----------------------------------|--|---------------|-----|---|
| Mainline Provision of HOT Lane by Category Conversion of Existing or Planned HOV Lanes (reducing inside shoulder by 1'-2') Conversion of Existing or Planned HOV Lanes (reducing inside shoulder by 1'-2') Conversion of Existing or Planned HOV Lanes (widening to outside by 2') Widen to inside to reduced design standard (16') Widen to inside to full design standard (24') Widen to outside to educed design standard (16') Widen to outside to educed design standard (16') Widen to outside to full design standard (24') Modify Existing Ramps Ingress and Egress Points Ingress/egress points, widen to inside (only) Ingress/egress points, widen to inside & outside Ingress/egress points, widen to outside (only) Special locations | Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Stane-Miles Ramp Site Site Site | 37.0<br>0<br>12<br>0      | \$639,000<br>\$1,200,000<br>\$1,587,000<br>\$2,722,000<br>\$3,367,000<br>\$2,299,000<br>\$2,938,000<br>\$546,700<br>\$3,022,000<br>\$5,183,000<br>\$3,236,000 | \$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$ |                | No ROW<br>acquisition<br>needed in th<br>corridor | is                               | \$23,650,000<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0 | \$62,200,000  | 72% | Categorical Exemption Probably Negative Declaration* Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Probably Negative Declaration Probably Negative Declaration Probably Negative Declaration Probably Negative Declaration Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Probably Negative Declaration Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND |
| Total without Contingency Contingency Total with Contingency   |  |                           |   | \$85,850,000  |                |   | \$0                              | \$85,850,000<br>50%<br>\$128,775,000   | ,,            | 00% |   |

<sup>\*</sup> A negative declaration may be possible if the Initial Study does not find that a significant environmental impact is likely to occur

| "Revised Full Featured" Approach   | Unit   | Number of<br>Units<br>(A)  | Unit Cost<br>(B)  | Construction Cost<br>(C)=(A)*(B)  | ROW<br>(acres)<br>(D) | ROW<br>(\$M/acre)<br>(E)                         | ROW Cost<br>(\$M)<br>(F)=(D)*(E) | Line Item Cost<br>(G)=(C)+(F)   | Category Cost |            | Environmental Document Anticipated  |
|--|--|----------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|--|----------------------------------|---|---------------|------------|---|
| Mainline Provision of HOT Lane by Category  Conversion of Existing or Planned HOV Lanes (narrowing general purpose lanes by 2) Conversion of Existing or Planned HOV Lanes (widening inside shoulder by 1'-2') Conversion of Existing or Planned HOV Lanes (widening to outside by 2') Widen to inside to reduced design standard (16') Widen to inside to reduced design standard (24') Widen to inside to full design standard (24') Widen to outside to eluced design standard (16') Widen to outside to full design standard (24') Modify Existing Ramps Incress and Ecress Points Ingress/egress points, widen to inside (only) Ingress/egress points, widen to inside & outside Ingress/egress points, widen to outside (only) Special locations | Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Lane-Miles Ramp Site Site Site | 37.0<br>0<br>12<br>0<br>12 | \$639,000<br>\$1,200,000<br>\$1,587,000<br>\$2,722,000<br>\$2,938,000<br>\$2,938,000<br>\$46,700<br>\$3,022,000<br>\$5,183,000<br>\$3,236,000 | \$0 \$58,720,000<br>\$0 \$58,720,000<br>\$0 \$0<br>\$0 \$0<br>\$0 \$0<br>\$0 \$0<br>\$0<br>\$0 \$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0 |                       | No ROW<br>acquisition<br>needed in t<br>corridor | is<br>his                        | \$0<br>\$58,720,000<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0<br>\$0 | ,             | 49%<br>51% | Categorical Exemption Probably Negative Declaration* Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Probably Negative Declaration Probably Negative Declaration Probably Negative Declaration Probably Negative Declaration Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Probably Negative Declaration Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Probably Negative Declaration Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND Possible Neg-Dec or Mitigated ND |
| Total without Contingency Contingency  |  |                            |   | \$120,920,000   |                       |  | \$0                              | \$120,920,000<br>50%  | \$120,920,000 | 100%       |   |
| Total with Contingency   |  |                            |   |   |                       |  |                                  | \$181,380,000   |               |            |   |

Cost Estimate for I-880 in Alameda County from SR-92 to SR-237